

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/832,094

Applicant(s)

NAKADA, SUGURU

Examiner

Viet Q. Le

Art Unit

2667

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Viet Q. Le. (3) _____

(2) Laura Fertano. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 October 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner acknowledged that the 2 month period set for reply to the office action of October 22, 2004 was typographical error. It has been changed to 3 months. The supplemental office action summary (PTOL-326) is attached herewith.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required